would have fake heroin?

A. This isn't Aspirin. This is what's going to be found in the search of the residence. All of these are bets on what we're going to find in the search of the residence.

- Q. Okay. So why were you sure that you were going to find fake heroin?
- A. Because at this time, I did not believe

 Detective Abdullah was managing Aspirin properly and that
 because of the way he was managing him, they were being
 ripped off by drug dealers.
- Q. Okay. So you thought the search would result in fake heroin?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Had operations involving Aspirin in the past, prior to this incident, resulted in fake heroin?
- A. At this time, I can't say for sure because I don't know what the lab reports were.
 - Q. Well, I mean, you had field tested alleged heroin produced by Aspirin prior to this?
 - A. Alleged heroin, yes, but not for other narcotics.
 - Q. Well, then, let me ask you this. Why were you sure it would be fake heroin?
 - A. Because it was my opinion that Detective

REED & ASSOCIATES

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

Q. And prior to May 21, 2020, did you believe that Aspirin had been ripped?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so you were betting or predicting that this could happen again?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I think you just said that your belief was that actual narcotics were being purchased on Aspirin's first controlled buy.
 - A. That was my belief.
- Q. Was it -- were you present for the first controlled buys in cases involving Aspirin?
- A. I do not recall and do not believe I was present for this controlled buy, but I had been present for controlled buys with Detective Abdullah in the past.
- Q. And you're saying that during the first controlled buys involving Aspirin -- and I'm not just asking you about for this case. I'm asking you in general. You didn't have concerns about the initial controlled buys involving a suspect?
- A. With the limited information I was provided and my role in those buys, I didn't have a concern at that time.
 - Q. So your concern with Aspirin was primarily the

REED & ASSOCIATES

A. I don't know the details of the case prior.

Once again, I have one piece that day, that buy. I don't know what he bought from him previously. I don't have any details to the investigation before, just that takedown and provided with that evidence.

- Q. So you're saying maybe you would have charged Curtis Logan with trafficking heroin?
 - A. I can't answer that. I don't have the details.
- Q. Did you at this time attempt to stop Officer
 Abdullah from charging Curtis Logan with trafficking
 heroin?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I provided him what I knew about the evidence. That was it. I didn't do anything else with the investigation.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. Were you ever present for a takedown involving Aspirin and Abdullah where heroin was located on the person seized?
 - A. No.

Q. Were you ever present for a takedown involving or I guess -- strike that.

Were you ever present for a search warrant execution where heroin was located at the home or apartment in a case involving Aspirin?

REED & ASSOCIATES

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. As you sit here today, is it your belief that Williams was purchasing marijuana and not actually purchasing heroin?

MR. PETTEY: Objection.

THE WITNESS: At least on one case because I believed the individual when I spoke with him in the debrief.

BY MR. SCHEWEL:

- Q. What do you think was happening in the other cases?
- A. It's an assumption that this was happening, but I still don't know for sure.
- Q. I'm not asking for sure. I'm asking your opinion.
- A. It's my assumption that the same scenario happened in other cases.
- Q. The scenario being that Williams would purchase marijuana?
- A. That he would meet with drug dealers that he previously set up controlled buys with, would meet them, purchase controlled substances from them, and then at some point, in some manner or some fashion, provide Detective Abdullah with something that he did not purchase.

REED & ASSOCIATES

Q. And you stated that Officer Abdullah led the briefing and he had explained that he had made a controlled buy using Aspirin the day before?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that that controlled buy was the basis for the search warrant?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Q. And he described the target of the operation, who was Marcus VanIrvin?
- A. Don't recall his description of the suspect, but he stated a suspect was there.
 - Q. Okay. And Abdullah told the vice team the target's address?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And the SEU team?
- 16 A. Correct.
 - Q. And he informed everyone at that meeting that he was going to conduct a second controlled buy prior to execution of the warrant?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. And after this meeting, the vice team, including yourself, went to the location?
 - A. To that area, yes.
- Q. Where did you go?
- 25 A. I was assigned to the rear of the apartment.

REED & ASSOCIATES

Q. Who was in your vehicle?

A. I don't recall who was in my vehicle. I can only remember moving on foot across the back of the apartment complex.

- Q. Do you recall that Rattelade and Gwinn drove Aspirin to the scene?
 - A. Yes, I do know that.
- Q. Why would Rattelade and Gwinn drive Aspirin to the scene if it was Abdullah's operation?
- A. Because whenever we do operations and search warrants, the SWAT team operators don't drive their van because they're all loaded down with equipment, so whoever the lead detective is, and it's their search warrant, they know where they're going and their address. They drive the vehicle while the SWAT team is in the rear of the van, so it's the lead detective's job to drive that vehicle there so that they can deploy to the address, so it leaves your other teammates.

In this particular case, someone had to drop off the CI because Abdullah was staged in the SWAT team van as the driver while the SWAT team was suited in the back of the van.

- Q. Is that true for takedowns as well?
- A. Takedowns can be different because sometimes they have a van, sometimes they load in unmarked

REED & ASSOCIATES